

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | TLING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,593 | | 06/24/2003 | Koji Naoe | Q76204 | 1475 |
| 23373 | 7590 | 01/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE | E MION, | PLLC | BASHORE, ALAIN L | | |
| 2100 PENN SUITE 800 | 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | ron, do | 20037 | 1762 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | _ / / |
|---|--|--|---|----------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - jo |
| | | 10/601,593 | NAOE, KOJI | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Alain L. Bashore | 1762 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addre | ess |
| A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS (a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Equation 1. | action is non-final. nce except for formal matt | • | erits is |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ | Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers | | | |
| 9) 🗀 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | ۲. | | |
| 10)🖂 | The drawing(s) filed on <u>6-24-03</u> is/are: a) $igtimes$ ac | cepted or b) Dobjected to | o by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | • • | • • |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | application No received in this National Sta | age |
| 2) Notice (3) Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 | (2) |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohono et al.

Ohono et al discloses a method for producing a magnetic recording medium having a nonmagnetic substrate coated with a magnetic coating material containing a ferromagnetic powder and a binder. A ferromagnetic powder and solvent is disclosed as initially separate from a binder (para 0021). The ferromagnetic powder, solvent, and binder are mixed together by applying an ultrasonic wave (para 0081).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohono et al in view of Hall et al.

Ohono et al discloses what is described above in the 35 USC 102 rejection.

Ohono et al does not disclose applying ultrasonic to the ferromagnetic powder and a solvent before the binder is admixed.

Hall et al discloses applying ultrasonic to the ferromagnetic powder and a solvent before a binder is admixed (col 3, lines 5-11)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include applying ultrasonic to the ferromagnetic powder and a solvent before a binder is admixed because Hall et al teaches pH adjustments required for efficient coatings (col 2, lines 56-67; col 3, lines 1-2).

5. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohono et al in view of Ikeuchi et al.

Ohono et al discloses what is described above in the 35 USC 102 rejection.

Ohono et al does not disclose needle particle dimensions as claimed in claims 4 and 7.

Art Unit: 1762

Ikeuchi et al discloses needle particle dimensions as claimed in claims 4 and 7 (para 0081).

It would have been obvious tone with ordinary skill in the art to include needle particle dimensions as claimed in claims 4 and 7 because Ikeuchi et al teaches advantages of needle particle use (para 0081).

6. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohono et al in view of Akashi et al

Ohono et al discloses what is described above in the 35 USC 102 rejection.

Ohono et al does not disclose plate particle dimensions as claimed in claims 5 and 8.

Akashi et al discloses plate particle dimensions as claimed in claims 5 and 8 (col 3, lines 24-40).

It would have been obvious tone with ordinary skill in the art to include plate particle dimensions as claimed in claims 5 and 8 because Akashi teaches advantages for using plate type particles (col 1, lines 15-39).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Ohno et al states at paragraph 0070 that "all or part of the additives used in the present invention may be added to a magnetic coating solution at any stage of its preparation". Ohno et al goes on further to state that the additive may be blended with the ferromagnetic powder before a kneading step (para 0070).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,593 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1762